

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,394	94 08/08/2001		Koki Hirasawa	NU-01011	2347
466	7590	12/03/2004		EXAMINER	
YOUNG	& THOM	PSON	ERDEM, FAZLI		
745 SOUT 2ND FLO	TH 23RD ST OR	TREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGT	ON, VA	22202	2826		
				DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/923,394	HIRASAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fazli Erdem	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 S	September 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15 and 19-22</u> is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 7-10</u> is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 7-10</u> is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) ☐ Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7 ☐ 0 ←		atent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 11-15 and 19-22 allowed.
- 1. Claims 2-4 and 7-10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 09-83013 in view of Shibata (5,309,018) further in view of Uchimura et al. (5,235,245)

Regarding Claims 1, 5 and 6, JP 09-83013 disloses in Fig. 2 and 3, tiebars 1, semiconductor elements 2 and 3 loaded on to leads and insulated with resin 6. JP 09-83013 fails to disclose the required deformable tiebars with positioning holes and the required locally thinned portions. However, Shibata discloses lead frame having deformable supports where in Fig. 1, tiebars 1 are disclosed to be flexible/deformable and the positioning holes 9 are used for positioning the package. Furthermore, Uchimura et al. disclose a metallic frame including leads incorporating deformable part for use in a fluorescent display panel where in column 6, lines 15-25, the required locally thinned portion configuration is disclosed.

Art Unit: 2826

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required deformable tiebars with positioning holes and the locally thinned configuration in JP 0983013 as taught by Shibata and Uchimura et al. respectively, in order to have a semiconductor package with more reliability and ease of manufacture.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (571) 272-1914. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/923,394

Art Unit: 2826

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE

November 28, 2004

NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Page 4